Caseas: 08-03/50351/R-W/RWD doornement fot 7 Filled 10/14/2008Page age f13 of 3

1 2 3 4	David Polin Law Office of David Polin 10 Keller Street #100 Petaluma, CA 94952 707-765-1909 (voice or fax) davidpolin@att.net		
5 6 7	Attorney for Plaintiff Eliza Rosas		
8	United States District Court		
9	Northern District of California		
10	San Francisco Division		
11			
12	ELIZA ROSAS,	Case No.: CV 08 3511 VRW	
13 14 15	Plaintiff v.	PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DATES IN ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES; DECLARATION OF DAVID POLIN [Local Rule 6-2]	
16 17 18	Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of Social Security, Defendant	Currently Scheduled Initial Case Management Conference: 11/16/2008 Time: 3:30 p.m.	
19		Courtroom: 6, 17th floor	
20 21 22 23 24 25	original Scheduling Order, issued on July 22, 2 As stated in the accompanying Declara filed, and the Order issued, prematurely. The	the Court: e parties hereby stipulate and request the Court's approval to reschedule all the dates on the ginal Scheduling Order, issued on July 22, 2008, for the following reasons: As stated in the accompanying Declaration of David Polin, the complaint in this case was ed, and the Order issued, prematurely. The complaint was submitted with a petition to proceed forma pauperis, and was not properly filed until the Court ruled on the petition. The Clerk	

CV 08 3511 VRW, Stipulation to Continue Dates, page 1 of 3

Caseas: 08-0350351/R-WRWD door one ne lot 7 Filled 10/14/2008Page age 123 of 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

erroneously filed the complaint, and issued the Scheduling Order, while the in forma pauperis petition was still pending. Eventually, the Court ruled on the in forma pauperis petition, a summons was issued and process was served expeditiously, but the earliest dates set in the scheduling order are more than a month before the defendant will be required to answer. Using September 16 as the date of service, defendant is not required to answer until Monday, November 17, 2008, while the last day to meet and confer is October 16, and the Initial Case Management Conference is set for November 6. In short, the scheduled dates provide the parties with little actual time to meet and confer, and seem to conflict with the allowed time to answer. Plaintiff's attorney discussed this matter with a member of the Clerk's staff, and was advised to proceed through stipulation. Therefore, the parties stipulate to extend all the scheduled dates on the Order by 90 days, as specified in the Order below. There have been no previous time modifications in this case. The effect of the requested modification would be to allow the parties a reasonable time after the presumptive date of the defendant's answer to meet and confer and be properly prepared for the Initial Case Management Conference. The parties do not anticipate that this rescheduling will have a substantial impact on the eventual progress of the case. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: 10/14/08 Attorney for Plaintiff Dated: 13/14/08

Attorney for Defendants

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED

Good cause being shown, pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, the Court orders:

- (1) The last day to meet and confer is extended from October 16, 2008 to January 14, 2009;
- (2) the last day to file Rule 26(f) Report is extended from October 30, 2008 to January 28, 2009;
- (3) the date of the Initial Case Management Conference is extended from November 6, 2008, to

Thursday, February 5, 2009.

Dated: ________

